DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 7500 Security Boulevard, Mail Stop S2-26-12 Baltimore, MD 21244-1850



SMD: 18-002

RE: Opportunities to
Promote Work and
Community Engagement
Among Medicaid
Beneficiaries MCID 0 >> BDC /TT1 1 Tf

Health Benefits of Community Engagement, including Work and Work Promotion

While high-quality health care is important for an individual's health and well-being, there are many other determinants of health. It is widely recognized that education, for example, can lead to improved health by increasing health knowledge and healthy behaviors.³ CMS recognizes that a broad range of social, economic, and behavioral factors can have a major impact on an

home and community based settings."¹⁰ These activities have been historically focused on services and programs for individuals with disabilities and receipt of these supports is not a condition of eligibility or coverage.

The successes of all these programs suggest that a spectrum of additional work incentives, including those discussed in this letter, could yield similar outcomes while promoting these same objectives.

New Opportunity for Promoting Work and Other Community Engagement for Non-Elderly, Non-Pregnant Adult Beneficiaries Who Are Eligible for Medicaid on a Basis Other than Disability

On March 14, 2017, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and CMS issued a letter to the nation's governors affirming the continued commitment to partner with states in the administration of the Medicaid program. In the letter, we noted that CMS will empower states to develop innovative proposals to improve their Medicaid programs. Demonstration projects under section 1115 of the Act give states more freedom to test and evaluate approaches to improving quality, accessibility, and health outcomes in the most cost-effective manner. CMS is committed to allowing states to test their approaches, provided that the Secretary determines that the demonstrations are likely to assist in promoting the objectives of the Medicaid program.

Some states are interested in pursuing demonstration projects to test the hypothesis that requiring work or community engagement as a condition of eligibility, as a condition of coverage, as a condition of receiving additional or enhanced benefits, or as a condition of paying reduced premiums or cost sharing, will result in more beneficiaries being employed or engaging in other

1115(a) of the Act, demonstration applications will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis to determine whether the proposed approach is likely to promote the objectives of Medicaid. CMS is also committed to ensuring state accountability for the health outcomes produced by the program, and demonstration projects approved consistent with this guidance will be required to conduct outcomes-based evaluations, based on evaluation designs subject to CMS approval. We note that approved demonstration projects that promote positive health outcomes may also achieve the additional goal of the Medicaid program to promote independence.

State Flexibility in Program Design

In its work with states, CMS has identified -023 Tw [(C)-22.6 (M)-0i4 0 TdMCID 2 >> B(a)-52yo4et CCC(P.05 Tw

Page 6- State Medicaid Director

engagement are subject to all relevant public notice and transparency requirements, including those described in 42 C.F.R. Part 431, subpart G. Where applicable, states will also be required to comply with tribal consultation requirements and describe how they are responding to comments received through the tribal consultation process.

Budget Neutrality

To promote long-term sustainability of the Medicaid program for states and the federal government, we will continue to require states to demonstrate that projects authorized under section 1115 of the Act are budget neutral. CMS will work with states to identify those components of the demonstration that will be included in budget neutrality calculations and provide technical assistance as needed in determining budget neutrality. States will not be perml a it pr(t)-21. 0 -1whra

t lons, on ade(r)-d 45 (1)4 (h1.6 (i6e)-55.7 (M v3 (th1) v3(th1) v3 (th1) v3 (th1)

Evaluation

States will also be required to evaluate health and other outcomes of individuals that have been enrolled in and subject to the provisions of the demonstration, and will be required to conduct robust, independent program evaluations. Evaluations must be designed to determine whether the demonstration is meeting its objectives, as well as the impact of the demonstration on Medicaid beneficiaries and on individuals who experience a lapse in eligibility or coverage for failure to meet the program requirements or because they have gained employer-sponsored insurance. A draft evaluation design should be submitted with the application, and the final evaluation design will be submitted for CMS approval no more than 180 days after demonstration approval.

Evaluation designs will be expected to include a discussion of the evaluation questions and hypotheses that the state intends to test, including the hypothesis that requiring certain Medicaid beneficiaries to work or participate in other community engagement activities increases the likelihood that those Medicaid beneficiaries will achieve improved health, well-being, and (if the State designs its program to pursue this additional goal) independence as contemplated in the objectives of Medicaid. Evaluation designs will be expected to include analysis of how this requirement affects beneficiaries' ability to obtain sustainable employment, the extent to which individuals who transition from Medicaid obtain employer sponsored or other health insurance coverage, and how such transitions affect health and well-being.

The hypothesis testing should include, where possible, assessment of both process and outcome measures, and proposed measures should be selected from nationally-recognized sources and national measures sets, where possible. The evaluation design should use both quantitative and qualitative methods, and will need to identify comparison groups and appropriate statistical analyses to evaluate the impact of the demonstration. Evaluation designs should also include descriptions of multiple data sources to be used, including but not limited to multiple stakeholder perspectives, surveys of beneficiaries (both enrolled and those no longer enrolled as a result of the implementation of program requirements), claims data, and survey data (such as Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS)).

To the extent permitted by federal and state privacy laws, states should be prepared to track and evaluate health and community engagement outcomes both for those who remain enrolled in Medicaid, and those who are subject to the requirements but lose or experience a lapse in eligibility or coverage during the course of the demonstration, and provide details on how they will track these outcomes in their demonstration evaluation designs. Ongoing monitoring and evaluation efforts will help CMS learn more about the challenges and successes states experience while implementing innovative policies to increase productive community engagement, which we will then be able to share with other states looking to achieve similar goals related to their residents' well-being.

We hope this information is helpful, and we look forward to continuing to work with states to implement innovative solutions to improve their Medicaid programs. Questions and comments regarding this policy may be directed to Judith Cash, Acting Director, State Demonstrations Group, CMCS, at 410-786-9686.

Sincerely,

/s/

Brian Neale Director

Cc:

National Association of Medicaid Directors National Academy for State Health Policy National Governors Association American Public Human Services Association Association of State and Territorial Health Officials Council of State Governments